

From: [Robert Mcgaughy](#)
To: [David Bayliss](#); [Ravi Subramaniam](#)
Subject: RE: Literature on bias with log-linear models
Date: 06/04/2002 04:47 PM

David, Ravi,
I got this prompt reply from Steenland today, FYI
Bob

----- Forwarded by Robert Mcgaughy/DC/USEPA/US on 06/04/2002 04:46 PM -----

**"Steenland,
Nelson K. (Kyle)"**
<kns1@cdc.gov>

To: Robert Mcgaughy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
CC:
Subject: RE: Literature on bias with log-linear models

06/04/2002 01:49
PM

Here's some.

Kyle Steenland

Steenland K and Deddens J, Biases in estimating the effect of cumulative exposure in linear and log-linear models when exposure is subject to Berkson-type errors, Scan J Work Environ Health 26: 37-43, 2000

Armstrong B, Effects of measurement errors on relative risk estimates, Am J Epidemiol 1990, 132: 50-63

Armstrong B, Effect of measurement error on epidemiological studies of environmental and occupational exposures, Occup Environ Med. 1998 Oct;55(10):651-6

Armstrong B, The effects of measurement errors on relative risk regressions, Am J Epidemiol. 1990 Dec;132(6):1176-84.

Wacholder S, When measurement errors correlate with truth, surprising effects of nondifferential misclassification, Epidemiol 1995, 6: 157-161

Flegal et al, Differential misclassification arising from nondifferential errors in exposure measurement, Am J Epidemiol 1991, 134: 1233-1244:

Armstrong, White, and Saracci, Principles of exposure measurement in epidemiology, Oxf Univ Press, NY 1994 (text)

-----Original Message-----

From: Mcgaughy.Robert@epamail.epa.gov

[mailto:Mcgaughy.Robert@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2002 12:31 PM

To: nsteenland@cdc.gov

Subject: Literature on bias with log-linear models

Kyle,

Thank you for your comments (b) (5)

(b) (5)

during this morning's phone conversation. (b) (5)

Therefore I would

knowing the citations of some recent review articles on the subject.

Bob McGaughy